

Differential Diagnosis for Thoracic Pain

Lever A, Seidenburg M

Drayer Physical Therapy Institute, Mechanicsburg, PA

MISSION STATEMENT

“To our patients, we commit to provide the most effective, clinically superior physical therapy humanly possible.”

The measure of our success will be found in the trust we build and in the hearts of those we heal.”

Background


The prevalence of thoracic pain is a rare referral in physical therapy. It is imperative that physical therapists are adept at differential diagnosis between mechanical spinal pain and sinister pathologies that can refer symptoms to this region. This case highlights the importance of differential diagnosis of this region and determining when to treat, refer, or treat and refer.

Examination/Evaluation

The patient was a 75-year-old male who was referred from an orthopedic surgeon for physical therapy for complaints of thoracic spine pain. He reported an two month insidious onset of pain with radiographic imaging that was unremarkable and was referred to physical therapy with a diagnosis of cervicgia and thoracic pain.

The patient presented with localized midthoracic pain that radiated to both shoulders. His pain rating on the Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) was 2/10 at best to 7/10 at worst. His functional outcome score on Focus on Therapeutic Outcomes (FOTO) was 78. He reported constant pain unrelated to activity that woke him from night and only eased with the use of Valium and heat to his back. Medical screening also revealed significant weight loss over the prior 6 months. The patient initially denied any relevant past medical history findings, but additional questioning revealed recent esophageal cancer diagnosed the prior fall with successful treatment concluding in the winter. He reported negative biopsies until recently in which the oncologist reported a positive finding.

The initial hypothesis following the subjective examination was that his pain was either non-mechanical in nature and related to his cancer, or mechanical thoracic pain, which could be due to thoracic joint restriction or dural tension due to his past radiation treatment. The objective exam revealed an extremely extended and prominent thoracic spine with muscle wasting. He had significantly restricted thoracic range of motion with his concordant symptoms provoked with thoracic spine flexion with clinician overpressure. His symptoms were then reduced with an improvement in pain and mobility following grade III thoracic posterior-anterior (PA) mobilization. The hypothesis following the objective examination was confirmed as thoracic spine mobility deficits and the treatment decision made was to treat and refer for further work-up by his oncologist.



Motion Tested	Cervical Spine	Thoracic Spine
Flexion	40 degrees w/ OP no effect	major loss w/ OP increased pain
Extension	25 degrees transition zone lower CS decreased upper TS mobility w/ OP no effect	0 degrees w/ OP "feels better"
Right Sidebending	20 degrees transition zone lower CS w/ OP no effect	major loss w/ OP no effect
Left Sidebending	20 degrees transition zone lower CS w/ OP no effect	major loss w/ OP no effect
Right Rotation	60 degrees w/ OP no effect	moderate loss w/ OP "feels better"
Left Rotation	65 degrees w/ OP no effect	moderate loss w/ OP "feels better"
Retraction	50%	



Outcome/Diagnosis

Manual and exercise interventions were used to address his limited thoracic spine mobility, and the patient was treated for 11 visits with discharge due to upcoming esophageal surgery. By conclusion of treatment the patient's symptoms were intermittent, a significant reduction in medication was needed, and the patient demonstrated improved thoracic mobility with reduced pain provocation. His FOTO score also improved. During a follow up visit with his oncologist, they confirmed his symptoms were likely related to the physical therapy hypothesis of scar tissue formation from his radiation treatment.

Discussion/Conclusion

The findings of this case report indicate the importance of identifying red flags when evaluating and treating patients with thoracic pain and stiffness. It is crucial that Physical Therapists screen for spinal red flags, but also consider additional findings when determining appropriateness of physical therapy services.

References

1. American Cancer Society. (2016). *Esophagus Cancer*. Retrieved October 10, 2016, from www.cancer.org/cancer/esophaguscancer/
2. Egan, W. (2011). The Thoracic Spine and Rib Cage: Physical Therapy Patient Management Utilizing Current Evidence. In *Current Concepts of Orthopedic Physical Therapy, 3rd Edition* (pp. 1-40). La Crosse, WI: Orthopaedic Section, APTA.
3. Hojan, K., & Milecki, P. (2014). Opportunities for rehabilitation of patients with radiation fibrosis syndrome. *Reports of Practical Oncology and Radiotherapy*.

Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge and thank Drayer Physical Therapy Institute for their support throughout the orthopaedic residency process.